

RESOLUTION 2019-29

WHEREAS, it appears reasonable and necessary for the BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF Ottawa COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, to recommend to the Oklahoma Department of Transportation the selection of a consulting engineer, Guy Engineering for certain design services in connection with the construction of a public project known as 680 ROAD- US60 TO 160 RD 1.7 MI, J/P 34476 (04) in accordance with the terms and tenor of 69 O.S. 2001, Section 601.

It is further affirmed that the consultant was nominated to the Board by Committee vote using a qualifications based selection process, as required by law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF Ottawa COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, sitting in regular session does hereby authorize the Oklahoma Department of Transportation to enter into a contract with the named consultant, on behalf of the County, for the proper design of the public project referenced herein, and to finance the cost of this contract from proceeds allocated to the *County Improvements for Roads and Bridges* funds; subject to such project expenditure being identified within the current approved *5 Year County Improvements for Roads and Bridges Plan*, on file with the Department.

ATTEST:

Robyn Mitchell
COUNTY CLERK



Chris Mattson
CHAIRMAN
Russell Lark
MEMBER
Mark Sumner
MEMBER



OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Local Government Division
200 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105-3204

Nondisclosure Statement for Evaluation Team
EC Number 1407 - Pre-Qualification for County Engineering Services

PROJECT: 680 ROAD- US60 TO 160 RD 1.7 MI

I hereby certify that I will not disclose or release any confidential information prior to award of the contract. Confidential information includes, but is not limited to, the contents of all proposals submitted in response to the referenced Engineering Contract and any analysis or evaluation thereof.

I agree to disclose to the Director of Transportation or designee all current personal, business and/or government relationships I have with the below listed consultants. I agree to disqualify myself from participation in the evaluation team should the Director of Transportation or designee find that any of said relationships may be perceived as compromising my independent judgment in the evaluation process.

Number	Consultant
1	GUY ENGINEERING
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	

Describe any current personal, business and/or government relationships between yourself or your family members and any of the consultants listed above. Include in your comments, the name of the consultant and a brief description of the nature of the relationship.

Chad Masterson
Evaluator Signature:

12/11/19
Date:

Chad Masterson
Printed Name

CO. Counselor
Printed Title

LOCAL GOVERNMENT - CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS
SCORE SHEET

DATE: 12-11/19

STATE JOB PIECE#: 34476

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION:
680 ROAD- US60 TO 160 RD 1.7 MI

PROJECT OWNER: Ottawa County (County or City)

SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Chad Martin

CONSULTANT COMPANY		
<u>Guy Engineering</u>		
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE		
<u>Rebecca Alvarez</u>		

QUESTION #	RATING (1 – 10)	RATING (1 – 10)	RATING (1 – 10)
1	9		
2	9		
3	10		
4	10		
5	9		
6	10		
7	10		
TOTALS	67		
YOUR RANKING (1 st 2 nd 3 rd)	1 st		

INSTRUCTIONS:

Each Selection Committee Member should interview and rate each Consultant on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest, on each of the questions. Enter the rating numbers on the lines provided next to each question number. At the completion of the interview, enter the totals on the lines provided. Then rank the firms - 1st, 2nd and 3rd choice.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT - CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS
SCORE SHEET

DATE: 12-11-19

STATE JOB PIECE#: 34476

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION:
680 ROAD- US60 TO 160 RD 1.7 MI

PROJECT OWNER: Ottawa County (County or City)

SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Darrin Hance

CONSULTANT COMPANY	
<u>Civil Engineering</u>	
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE	
<u>Rebecca Alvarez</u>	

QUESTION #	RATING (1 – 10)	RATING (1 – 10)	RATING (1 – 10)
1	10		
2	10		
3	10		
4	10		
5	10		
6	10		
7	10		
TOTALS	70		
YOUR RANKING (1 st 2 nd 3 rd)	1 st		

INSTRUCTIONS:

Each Selection Committee Member should interview and rate each Consultant on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest, on each of the questions. Enter the rating numbers on the lines provided next to each question number. At the completion of the interview, enter the totals on the lines provided. Then rank the firms - 1st, 2nd and 3rd choice.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT - CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS **SCORE SHEET**

DATE: 12-11-2019

STATE JOB PIECE#: 34476

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION:
680 ROAD- US60 TO 160 RD 1.7 MI

PROJECT OWNER: Ottawa County (County or City)

SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Chad Helcomb

CONSULTANT COMPANY		
<u>Gut Engineering</u>		
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE		
<u>Rebecca Alvarez</u>		

QUESTION #	RATING (1 – 10)	RATING (1 – 10)	RATING (1 – 10)
1	10		
2	10		
3	10		
4	10		
5	10		
6	10		
7	10		
TOTALS	10		
YOUR RANKING (1 st 2 nd 3 rd)	1 st		

INSTRUCTIONS:

Each Selection Committee Member should interview and rate each Consultant on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest, on each of the questions. Enter the rating numbers on the lines provided next to each question number. At the completion of the interview, enter the totals on the lines provided. Then rank the firms - 1st, 2nd and 3rd choice.