

RESOLUTION NO. 35

The Board of Commissioners of Ottawa County met in regular session on September 28, 2015.

WHEREAS, the Ottawa County Board of Commissioners had previously approved the proposed costs for the relocation of Northeast Oklahoma Electric Cooperative's facilities for the Hudson Creek Bridge Replacement (JP 29417 (04)) project on May 12, 2104 for the lump sum amount of \$22,425.12;

WHEREAS, the final field conditions for the relocation have required a revised estimate of costs for the relocation in the amount of \$22,929.46, thereby causing an increase in the agreed to amount of \$524.34;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the County Utility Relocation Agreement with Northeast Oklahoma Electric Cooperative shall be increased by in the amount of \$524.34 to the new revised total cost of \$22,929.46.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OTTAWA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Mary Wyrick
CHAIRMAN

John Clark
MEMBER

Russell Earls
MEMBER



ATTEST:

REBA G. SILL

COUNTY CLERK

By: Robyn Mitchell

Attachments: Revised Construction Cost Estimate
Copy of Original County Utility Relocation Agreement



**NORTHEAST OKLAHOMA
ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE**

Construction Cost Estimate

Date: 9/24/2015
Prepared By: Nick Koger

Name: Hudson Creek Bridge # 91

Service Order Number: State Job # 29417(04)

Work Order Number: _____

Description: Hudson Creek Bridge #91 widen job county road south 560

Summary of Costs:

Right of Way Clearing:	\$ 12,385.64
Contractor Install	\$ -
Contractor Removal	\$ 1,089.36
Material	\$ 2,345.32
Total	\$ 15,820.32
Administrative Cost	\$ 7,119.14

Estimate Grand Total	\$ 22,939.46
-----------------------------	---------------------

Hours to Complete

6

	Quantity	Hours	Hourly Rate Extended	
Personnel				
Foreman	1	24	\$ 54.09	\$ 1,298.16
Journey Lineman	3	24	\$ 49.67	\$ 3,576.24
Apprentice	0	0	\$ 42.67	\$ -
Operator	0	0	\$ 43.06	\$ -
			Total	\$ 4,874.40

Equipment

Boom Truck	1	24	\$140.60	\$ 3,374.40
Small Basket	1	24	\$73.49	\$ 1,763.76
Pole Trailer	1	24	\$9.92	\$ 238.08
Large 2-man Bkt	0	0	\$135.52	\$ -
Pickup	0	0	\$14.75	\$ -
Backhoe	0	0	\$46.00	\$ -
Total				\$ 5,376.24

Personnel and Equipment

\$10,250.64

ROW Footage

610 ft

\$ 2,135.00

Force Account Total

\$12,385.64

Material

Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Extended
30' Pole		\$ 143.84	\$ -
35' Pole	7	\$ 188.77	\$ 1,321.39
40' Pole		\$ 304.31	\$ -
45' Pole		\$ 366.71	\$ -
50' Pole		\$ 463.76	\$ -
55' Pole		\$ 519.13	\$ -
60' Pole		\$ 685.89	\$ -
65' Pole		\$ 737.00	\$ -
VA1.01		\$ 19.27	\$ -
VA1.1	4	\$ 19.86	\$ 79.44
VA1.11		\$ 66.62	\$ -
VA2.1	3	\$ 41.09	\$ 123.27
VA2.21		\$ 137.67	\$ -
VA3.1		\$ 26.13	\$ -
VA4.1	2	\$ 53.05	\$ 106.10
VA4.2		\$ 71.20	\$ -
VA5.1	1	\$ 28.57	\$ 28.57
VA5.2		\$ 59.82	\$ -
VA5.21		\$ 107.00	\$ -
VA5.31		\$ 138.07	\$ -
VA6.2		\$ 70.57	\$ -
VA7-X		\$ 66.90	\$ -
VB7-X		\$ 84.20	\$ -
VB1.11		\$ 77.94	\$ -
VB3.1		\$ 92.32	\$ -
VC1.11		\$ 91.71	\$ -
VC1.11L		\$ 111.60	\$ -
VC2.21		\$ 192.69	\$ -
VC2.21L		\$ 225.66	\$ -
VC2.51L		\$ 263.85	\$ -
VC3.2L		\$ 302.81	\$ -
VC5.1		\$ 78.58	\$ -
VC5.71L		\$ 444.38	\$ -
VC6.21		\$ 222.12	\$ -
VC6.31		\$ 253.21	\$ -
VC6.51		\$ 325.62	\$ -
VC7-X		\$ 123.71	\$ -
VC8-3P		\$ 1,288.28	\$ -
E1.1L	3	\$ 21.19	\$ 63.57
E1.3L		\$ 55.54	\$ -
E1.4L		\$ 17.99	\$ -
E1.5		\$ 11.90	\$ -
F1.8		\$ 9.57	\$ -
F1.12		\$ 37.37	\$ -

F2.8	3	\$ 43.29	\$ 129.87
F2.10		\$ 14.85	\$ -
F2.12		\$ 77.95	\$ -
G1.3		\$ 10.46	\$ -
G2.1		\$ 302.00	\$ -
G3.1		\$ 392.17	\$ -
H1.1	7	\$ 9.65	\$ 67.55
H5.1		\$ 7.65	\$ -
J1.1		\$ 4.57	\$ -
J2.1		\$ 5.14	\$ -
J3.1		\$ 5.59	\$ -
L4.3-1/0		\$ 0.70	\$ -
VB3.1		\$ 1.88	\$ -
L4.4-2		\$ 1.61	\$ -
L4.4-4		\$ 0.86	\$ -
ML-20		\$ 779.83	\$ -
ML-21		\$ 848.38	\$ -
ML-22		\$ 318.87	\$ -
ML-23		\$ 374.70	\$ -
ML-24		\$ 305.01	\$ -
PL-175		\$ 63.54	\$ -
P1.1H		\$ 61.43	\$ -
Q-1013		\$ 199.96	\$ -
Q-1015		\$ 239.29	\$ -
Q-1023		\$ 299.94	\$ -
Q-1025		\$ 353.56	\$ -
Q-1513		\$ 222.95	\$ -
Q-1515		\$ 271.50	\$ -
Q-1523		\$ 349.00	\$ -
Q-1525		\$ 434.87	\$ -
ROD-2-2	6	\$ 3.67	\$ 22.02
ROD-4-2		\$ 2.62	\$ -
RD-1/0-2		\$ 5.27	\$ -
RD-4/0-2		\$ 11.27	\$ -
RD-336-4		\$ 15.30	\$ -
RD-477-2		\$ 24.41	\$ -
S1.02		\$ 89.30	\$ -
SC2.32		\$ 3,324.78	\$ -
TIE-4-1		\$ 2.56	\$ -
TIE-4-N		\$ 1.15	\$ -
TIE-2-1	4	\$ 3.25	\$ 13.00
TIE-2-N	4	\$ 1.20	\$ 4.80
TI-1/0-1		\$ 2.89	\$ -
TI-1/0-N		\$ 1.62	\$ -
TI-4/0-1		\$ 4.91	\$ -
TI-4/0-N		\$ 2.16	\$ -
TI-336-4		\$ 5.48	\$ -

TI-477-1		\$	8.28	\$	-
TIE-#6AL	6	\$	0.31	\$	1.86
TIE-#6CU		\$	0.60	\$	-
#2 ACSR	2742	\$	0.14	\$	383.88
1/0 ACSR		\$	0.20	\$	-
4/0 ACSR		\$	0.40	\$	-
336 ACSR		\$	0.73	\$	-
477 ACSR		\$	0.72	\$	-
1/0 TP		\$	0.75	\$	-
4/0 TP		\$	1.46	\$	-
1/0 QP		\$	0.96	\$	-
4/0 QP		\$	1.55	\$	-
#6 DP		\$	0.23	\$	-

Material Total				\$	2,345.32
-----------------------	--	--	--	----	----------

Contractor Removal

Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Extended
30' Pole	2	\$ 118.00	\$ 236.00
35' Pole	2	\$ 118.00	\$ 236.00
40' Pole		\$ 118.00	\$ -
45' Pole		\$ 118.00	\$ -
50' Pole		\$ 140.00	\$ -
55' Pole		\$ 140.00	\$ -
60' Pole		\$ 150.00	\$ -
65' Pole		\$ 150.00	\$ -
VA1.01		\$ 12.00	\$ -
VA1.1	3	\$ 24.00	\$ 72.00
VA1.11		\$ 24.00	\$ -
VA2.1		\$ 24.00	\$ -
VA2.21		\$ 34.00	\$ -
VA3.1	1	\$ 24.00	\$ 24.00
VA4.1		\$ 49.00	\$ -
VA4.2		\$ 49.00	\$ -
VA5.1	1	\$ 37.00	\$ 37.00
VA5.2		\$ 37.00	\$ -
VA5.21		\$ 52.00	\$ -
VA5.31		\$ 52.00	\$ -
VA6.2		\$ 60.00	\$ -
VA7-X		\$ 100.00	\$ -
VB7-X		\$ 140.00	\$ -
VC1.11		\$ 37.00	\$ -
VC1.11L		\$ 40.00	\$ -
VC2.21		\$ 49.00	\$ -
VC2.21L		\$ 49.00	\$ -
VC2.51L		\$ 60.00	\$ -
VC3.2L		\$ 37.00	\$ -
VC5.1		\$ 74.00	\$ -
VC5.71L		\$ 111.00	\$ -
VC6.21		\$ 182.00	\$ -
VC6.31		\$ 190.00	\$ -
VC6.51		\$ 196.00	\$ -
VC7-X		\$ 160.00	\$ -
E1.1L	1	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
E1.3L		\$ 40.00	\$ -
E1.4L		\$ 40.00	\$ -
E1.5		\$ 10.00	\$ -
F1.8		\$ 30.00	\$ -
F1.12		\$ 30.00	\$ -
F2.8	1	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
F2.10		\$ 30.00	\$ -
F2.12		\$ 30.00	\$ -

G1.3		\$ 175.00	\$ -
G2.1		\$ -	\$ -
G3.1		\$ -	\$ -
H1.1		\$ 44.00	\$ -
H5.1	3	\$ 36.00	\$ 108.00
J1.1		\$ 14.00	\$ -
J2.1		\$ 14.00	\$ -
J3.1		\$ 14.00	\$ -
L4.3-1/0		\$ -	\$ -
L4.3-4/0		\$ -	\$ -
L4.4-2		\$ -	\$ -
L4.4-4		\$ -	\$ -
ML-20		\$ 100.00	\$ -
ML-21		\$ 100.00	\$ -
ML-22		\$ 100.00	\$ -
ML-23		\$ 100.00	\$ -
ML-24		\$ 100.00	\$ -
PL-175		\$ 30.00	\$ -
P1.1H		\$ 24.00	\$ -
Q-1013		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1015		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1023		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1025		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1513		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1515		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1523		\$ 100.00	\$ -
Q-1525		\$ 100.00	\$ -
ROD-2-2			\$ -
ROD-4-2		\$ -	\$ -
RD-1/0-2		\$ -	\$ -
RD-4/0-2		\$ -	\$ -
RD-336-4		\$ -	\$ -
RD-477-2		\$ -	\$ -
S1.02		\$ 24.00	\$ -
SC2.32		\$ 600.00	\$ -
TIE-4-1		\$ -	\$ -
TIE-4-N		\$ -	\$ -
TIE-2-1		\$ -	\$ -
TIE-2-N		\$ -	\$ -
TI-1/0-1		\$ -	\$ -
TI-1/0-N		\$ -	\$ -
TI-4/0-1		\$ -	\$ -
TI-4/0-N		\$ -	\$ -
TI-336-4		\$ -	\$ -
TI-477-1		\$ -	\$ -
TIE-#6AL		\$ -	\$ -
TIE-#6CU		\$ -	\$ -

#2 ACSR	2678	\$	0.12	\$	321.36
1/0 ACSR		\$	0.14	\$	-
4/0 ACSR		\$	0.15	\$	-
336 ACSR		\$	0.15	\$	-
477 ACSR		\$	0.17	\$	-
1/0 TP		\$	0.38	\$	-
4/0 TP		\$	0.38	\$	-
1/0 QP		\$	0.43	\$	-
4/0 QP		\$	0.43	\$	-
#6 DP		\$	0.38	\$	-

Contractor Removal Total				\$	1,089.36
---------------------------------	--	--	--	----	-----------------

Ottawa County Clerk

From: Rob Haskins <rob@guyengr.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 6:33 PM
To: dana@ruralinet.net; rearls@ruralinet.net
Cc: Reba Sill; John Blickensderfer; Gail Allamon; Stevfen Miller; Megan Douglas
Subject: FW: (GES 719) Br. 91 Over Hudson Creek - Ottawa - Electrical Relocations
Attachments: 4575_001.pdf; 20150924131157593.pdf; County Resolution for Utlity Relocation Funding Increase - Ottawa County - Hudson Creek.doc

Importance: High

Had the wrong email address for Russell and so I copied you all on this.

I generated this resolution for the board to sign during this item on the agenda.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Rob Haskins, P.E.
Utility Coordination Manager

GUYENGINEERING

918.437.0282

rob@guyengr.com

From: Rob Haskins
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 1:08 PM
To: 'Russell Earls'; John Blickensderfer
Cc: Gail Allamon; Stevfen Miller; Megan Douglas
Subject: RE: (GES 719) Br. 91 Over Hudson Creek - Ottawa - Electrical Relocations
Importance: High

All,

I received this revised proposal from NEOEC for the additional poles required for the relocation. It is only an increase of a little over \$500, but since the original agreement was approved for a lump sum amount (NTE) we do need to have consensus of the BOCC for this increase.

Please consider this increase and, if approved, provide a written confirmation of the approval.

I have also attached a copy of the original agreement from last year for your review. I do not think we need a new one with approval of the increase by the board.

Thanks, and if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Rob Haskins

Rob Haskins, P.E.
Utility Coordination Manager

GUYENGINEERING

918.437.0282

rob@guyengr.com

From: Rob Haskins
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 3:05 PM
To: 'Russell Earls'; John Blickensderfer; Nick Koger (nick.koger@neelectric.com)
Cc: Gail Allamon; Stevfien Miller; 'Kip Robinson'; Dewey G Peters; Megan Douglas
Subject: (GES 719) Br. 91 Over Hudson Creek - Ottawa - Electrical Relocations

Russell,

I just called Robin and put an item on your agenda for next Monday. While it is true that AEP has completed their relocations, some necessary design changes and actual lengths between their proposed pole locations are not going to allow Northeast Electric to share all of the poles originally thought.

Nick Koger with NEOEC called me and indicated they would need to add two more poles in their new alignment to adequately relocate their facility. Not that big of a deal or increase in cost but their relocation is being reimbursed by the county. Their original estimated cost (\$22,425) has already been approved by the board of commissioners and therefore the increase will need to be approved by them to proceed with the relocation.

Mr. Koger is going to provide the estimated increase in cost to us as soon as he can and we will have it to your office in time to review before the meeting on Monday.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Rob

Rob Haskins, P.E.
Utility Coordination Manager

GUYENGINEERING

918.437.0282

rob@guyengr.com